

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m-f

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,446		11/07/2003	Mikio Sugiki	245143US6	9720	
22850	7590	09/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ELLAND	GOMA, TAWFIK A			
OBLON, 1940 DUI		•	IAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN	DRIA, V	VA 22314		2627		
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	· · · · · ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,446	SUGIKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tawfik Goma	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communated the communated state of this communated the communated state of this community state of	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)    This action is FINAL.	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	·	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 07 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Objections

Claims 6-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-5 recite the limitations "the half-regions," "the optical axis," and "the other half-region" in lines 22-25 of claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitation in the claim.

Claims 9 and 10 recite the limitations "the half-regions," and "the optical axis," in lines 14-15 of both claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horimai et al (US 5917798) in view of Tanaka et al (US 6490061).

Regarding claim 1, Horimai discloses a holographic recording/reproducing apparatus which either records or records and reproduces information holographically (fig. 2 and col. 9 lines 37-45), the holographic recording/reproducing apparatus comprising: a laser source (20, fig. 31); a splitter unit which splits a laser beam from the laser source into a first laser beam for generating a signal beam and a second laser beam which serves as a reference beam (117, fig. 28 and col. 27 lines 51-59); a spatial light modulator which spatially modulates the first laser beam in accordance with the information to be recorded (col. 27 lines 51-56 and fig. 29), the modulated first laser beam serving as the signal beam (col. 27 lines 51-56); and a focusing lens system which focuses the signal beam and the reference beam onto the holographic recording medium (12, 13, fig. 28), wherein, in a recording process, the signal beam passes through the focusing lens system such that the central axis of the signal beam is in one of the half-regions separated along the optical axis of the focusing lens system and the reference beam passes through the focusing lens system in the other

half-region which is free from the signal beam (figs. 28-30 and col. 27 lines 51-59), the signal beam and the reference beam being focused onto the holographic recording medium so that the information is recorded on the holographic recording medium (col. Col. 27 lines 51-67 thru col. 28 lines 1-10). Horiami further discloses a servo system for positioning a pickup relative to the recording medium (85, fig. 3). Horimai fails to disclose wherein the positioning unit is for positioning a holographic recording medium. In the same field of endeavor, Tanaka discloses a holographic recording/reproducing apparatus with a positioning unit for positioning a holographic medium (19, 20, fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the recording/reproducing apparatus disclosed by Horimai by providing a positioning unit for the holographic medium as taught by Tanaka. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide a positioning unit for positioning the holographic medium in order to allow for interchangeability of holographic medium used with the apparatus (see Tanaka col. 3 lines 2-5)

Regarding claim 2, Horimai further discloses wherein the holographic recording medium is a transmissive holographic recording medium (fig. 13), wherein, in the recording process, the signal beam passes through the focusing lens system such that the central axis of the signal beam is in one of the half-regions separated along the optical axis of the focusing lens system and the reference beam passes through the focusing lens system in the other half-region which is free from the signal beam, the signal beam and the reference beam being focused onto the holographic recording

medium so that the information is recorded on the transmissive holographic recording medium (fig. 31 and col. 27 lines 51-59), and wherein, in a reproduction process of reproducing the information recorded on the holographic recording medium, the first laser beam is blocked and the reference beam is directed onto the holographic recording medium at the same incident position and incident angle as those of the reference beam in the recording process so that a signal reproduction beam corresponding to the signal beam is generated in accordance with the information recorded on the holographic recording medium (col. 28 lines 25-38), the signal reproduction beam being guided through the second lens system such that the signal reproduction beam is point symmetric to the signal beam in the recording process across the holographic recording medium (fig. 13). Horimai fails to disclose wherein the apparatus used with a transmissive type holographic medium including wherein the focusing lens system is a first lens system and the holographic recording/reproducing apparatus further comprises a second lens system which faces the first lens system across the positioning unit. In the same field of endeavor, Tanaka discloses an apparatus for a transmissive type holographic medium including a first and second lens system which are opposite each other across the positioning unit (13, 21, fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the recording/reproducing apparatus disclosed by Horimai by providing a second lens system opposite the first lens system as taught by Tanaka. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's

invention would have been motivated to provide a second lens system in order to reproduce the light that passes through the holographic medium of a transmissive type.

Regarding claim 3, Horimai further discloses wherein the holographic recording medium has a reflective film (4,fig. 31), wherein, in the recording process, the signal beam passes through the focusing lens system such that the central axis of the signal beam is in one of the half-regions separated along the optical axis of the focusing lens system and the reference beam passes through the focusing lens system in the other half-region which is free from the signal beam (fig. 28), the signal beam and the reference beam being focused onto the holographic recording medium so that the information is recorded on the holographic recording medium having the reflective film (col. 27 lines 51-59), and wherein, in a reproduction process of reproducing the information recorded on the holographic recording medium, the first laser beam is blocked and the reference beam is directed onto the holographic recording medium at the same incident position and incident angle as those of the reference beam in the recording process so that a signal reproduction beam corresponding to the signal beam is generated in accordance with the information recorded on the holographic recording medium (fig. 31 and col. 28 lines 25-38), the signal reproduction beam being guided through the focusing lens system such that the signal reproduction beam is symmetric to the signal beam in the recording process about the optical axis of the focusing lens system (127, 128, fig. 33).

Regarding claim 9, Horimai in view of Tanaka disclose everything claimed as applied to claims 1 and 2 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,446 Page 7

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 10, Horimai in view of Tanaka disclose everything claimed as applied to claims 1 and 3 above.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 4 and 5 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record including closest US patents Horimai (US 5917798) and Tanaka (US 6256281), considered individually or in combination fail to disclose or fairly teach a holographic recording/reading apparatus including the combination of an optical element with the features and function as claimed in claims 4 and 5.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tawfik Goma whose telephone number is (571) 272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,446 Page 8

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9/26/2006

WILLIAM KORZUCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600